UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA - DAVIS

Spring Quarter 2018
Selected Evaluations* (4)

Student Evaluation of Teaching

Enrollment 118
% responding 45%

	Excellent		Very Good		Satisfactory		Fair		Poor		$\bar{x}$	SD	M	N
	5	%	4	%	3	%	2	%	1	%				
Please indicate the overall educational value of the course. (excellent | very good | satisfactory | fair | poor)	20	38%	20	38%	12	23%	1	2%	0	0%	4.1	0.8	4.0	53
Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the teaching assistant. (excellent | very good | satisfactory | fair | poor)	23	45%	16	31%	12	24%	0	0%	0	0%	4.2	0.8	4.0	51
Overall, the instructor did a good job teaching this course	21	46%	18	39%	6	13%	1	2%	0	0%	4.3	0.8	4.0	46
The TA presents the material in a clear and and organized manner	16	36%	18	41%	9	20%	1	2%	0	0%	4.1	0.8	4.0	44
The TA speaks audibly and communicates effectively	19	41%	20	43%	7	15%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3	0.7	4.0	46
The TA stimulates discussion in sections	18	39%	21	46%	5	11%	2	4%	0	0%	4.2	0.8	4.0	46
The TA is responsive to questions	21	45%	22	47%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4	0.6	4.0	47
The TA is punctual for sections and office hours	22	49%	18	40%	5	11%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4	0.7	4.0	45
The TA is available and helpful to students during office hours	21	52%	15	38%	4	10%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4	0.7	5.0	40
The TA is responsive to difficulties students have in understanding the material	22	49%	19	42%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4	0.6	4.0	45
The grading was fair and timely	21	46%	15	33%	8	17%	1	2%	1	2%	4.2	0.9	4.0	46
The discussion section was a good educational experience	17	36%	20	43%	8	17%	2	4%	0	0%	4.1	0.8	4.0	47
Overall, the TA did a good job in this course	19	40%	20	43%	8	17%	0	0%	0	0%	4.2	0.7	4.0	47

Evaluate only the TA (s) with whom you attended discussion section and/or office hours.

Billy was alright. Max was really good.

William Swanson

Helpful

Billy was very well versed in the material and even though it seemed like the material was straightforward, he was still able to generate good discussion and even better examples than those in class.

explained things well and helpful for exams

I attended the last discussion, but no one was there.

Very good at applying lessons we learned to real life. Very courteous and approachable. Always tried to make the material engaging for us.

Really patient, He explain everything in a really good way, sometimes a little better than the professor

Very helpful and approachable!

My TA was the most helpful part of this entire course and is probably the reason I passed

Please provide additional information and/or elaborate on any aspect of your evaluation. These comments are often the most valuable part of your evaluation. Every comment will be read!

I never went to the discussions.

William was very helpful in my understanding of Economics, although one thing that can be worked on is to organize the discussion sessions a little better, since sometimes he was unprepared.

N/A

William was a great resource to have during this course. Every week he opened up section to questions we may be having, and answered them and more. After sections, I would feel confident in my knowledge of the course material that week. He really helped us wrap our heads around the difficult subjects!

I did not usually go to discussion.

The class was a very good balance between challenging work that was interesting but wasn't too overwhelming. Exams were only based on the things we learned and did not variate from the taught material in lecture.

He answered every one of my questions and explained different economic concepts very clearly

Term	Eval Opened	CRN	Subject	Course	Section	Enrollment	% Response
Spring Quarter 2018	6/1/2018 12:00 AM	59576	ECN	001A	A05	30	30
Spring Quarter 2018	6/1/2018 12:00 AM	59577	ECN	001A	A06	30	56
Spring Quarter 2018	6/1/2018 12:00 AM	59578	ECN	001A	A07	30	53
Spring Quarter 2018	6/1/2018 12:00 AM	59579	ECN	001A	A08	28	42